UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

FILED
Aug 03, 2022
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Eastern District of California

United States of America				
v.)			
) Case No. 2:22-cr-00140-WBS			
ARIVI LOPEZ-GAMEZ				
Defendant)			
ORDER OF DETER	NTION PENDING TRIAL			
Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the				
X Motion of the Government attorney pursu	uant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or			
Motion of the Government or Court's ow	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),			
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 31420	on is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact (i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.			
Part II - Findings of Fact and L	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)			
A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S	S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable			
	onditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person			
and the community because the following condition				
	following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):			
	18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum	m term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or			
(b) an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment or death; or			
(c) an offense for which a maximum te	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the			
· ·	§§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act 5 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or			
(d) any felony if such person has been	convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs			
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or tw	o or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses			

(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and

(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);

jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or

(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 14 Filed 08/03/22 Page 2 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

rebuttable defendant	table Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant one or more of the following offenses:
X(1) Co U.:	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the ntrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(3)	an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years more is prescribed;
(4)	an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
22:	an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 51, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 50, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
XC. Concl	usions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
	e defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OI	
<u> </u>	e defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the sumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
	Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
the Court conclude By clear a	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
By clear a the safety By a preport	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
By clear a the safety By a preper the defender	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community.
By clear a the safety By a preporthe defending addition to an armount of the control of the con	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Indicate that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Indicate that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required.
By clear a the safety By a preper the defended In addition to an Weight	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personal trial because the Government has proven:
By clear a the safety By a preper the defended In addition to an Weight Subjection of the control of the contr	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: Indicate that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Indicate that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personal trial because the Government has proven: In the personal trial because the Government has proven: In the person and the community. In the personal trial because the Government has proven: In the personal trial because the Government has prov
By clear a the safety By a preporthe defendation to an Weight Subject Prior of Partice	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personal details of the personable of the pers
By clear a the safety By a prepethe defends In addition to an Weight Subject Prior of Particing History	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personal details of the personably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personably assure and conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personably assure and conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personably assure and conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required.
By clear a the safety By a preper the defends In addition to an Weight Subject Prior of Participal Histor	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In graph of the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: It of evidence against the defendant is strong to to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted triminal history pation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision y of violence or use of weapons y of alcohol or substance abuse
By clear a the safety By a prepethe defends In addition to an Weight Subject Prior of Participal History Lack of the safety	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personation of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personation of evidence against the defendant is strong at to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted riminal history pation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision yof violence or use of weapons yof alcohol or substance abuse of stable employment
By clear a the safety By a preporthe defends In addition to an Weight Subject Prior of Participal History Lack of Lack of Lack of the safety	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personal defendant is strong to to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted to lengthy period of incarceration in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision yof violence or use of weapons yof alcohol or substance abuse of stable employment of stable residence
By clear a the safety By a prepethe defends In addition to an Weight Subject Prior of Participal History Lack of La	idering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, ides that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure of any other person and the community. Independent of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personation of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure ant's appearance as required. In the personation of evidence against the defendant is strong at to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted riminal history pation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision yof violence or use of weapons yof alcohol or substance abuse of stable employment

Case 2:22-cr-00140-WBS Document 14 Filed 08/03/22 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Click here to enter text.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: _	August 3, 2022	Lucy Glusa
		Jeremy D. Peterson, United States Magistrate Judge